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Reviewer's report:

The authors present an interesting case of two different clinical entities, trying to establish a relationship between them.

1. The clinical image and description of the case are compatible with a suppurative hidrosadenitis rather than with a conglobata acne. The lack of response to antibiotics and the improvement with surgical management support the above.

2. The negative direct immunofluorescence, as well as the presence of acanthosis and polymorphonuclear predominance supports a diagnosis of Piodermitis Vegetans, although acantholysis could not rule out a pemphigus vegetans, antibody titers are too low to be considered Important.

3. It was not determined that there was deficiency in cellular immunity, since it was not determined CD4, CD8 or Intradermal-reaction results.

4. I consider that the case, rather than correlation, has concomitance of two totally different entities, although the association can be reported.

Are the methods appropriate and well described? If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls? If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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