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This is improved but its a pity that the authors have not included some of their comments to the referees in their analysis of the work. The study is useful but has limitations. For instance this is a retrospective paper exercise which lists the diagnoses of skin disease obtained from medical records made in patients presenting to a hospital. This is therefore not suitable for independent verification, which is a limitation, and it does not really provide full insight into the epidemiology of skin disease in the area, merely those patients who happen to have presented in hospital. The differences that the authors point out with the community surveys ie papers 12 and 13 reflect this difference and it might be worth trying to explain why infections are more commonly seen in the community but not in hospitals as this is a feature of similar studies in other parts of the world. Many of the articles cited here which show similar findings are also outpatient surveys which may explain the differences with the more limited number of community studies.
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Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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