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Reviewer's report:

The article is overall good. I would rename the title as MMP-associated Paronychia with Onychomadesis, as the onychomadesis was a result of the paronychia. It is somewhat unsatisfying that a specific antigen wasn't identified in this case. As such, it might be worthwhile to review briefly in a sentence or two (with references) in the discussion the diagnostic criteria for calling MMP vs. EBA or bullous lupus or anti-p200 pemphigoid. Perhaps mention that clinical labs only test for BP 180 and BP 230 and the antigen could be any of a number of things. So long as BP 180, BP 230 have tested negative combined with clinical features of oral, nasal, or ocular mucosal involvement, a diagnosis of MMP can be made (vs. EBA or bullous lupus, which classically spare mucosae).
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