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Author’s response to reviews:

1. We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:

   This terrible mistake has been fixed and the 4th paragraph of the discussion completely revised.

2. Please include where the mice used in your study were obtained from. Source now included in Methods, second paragraph. Page 5 line 28.

3. Please also clarify whether the mice used in your study were scarified. Please provide a statement in the methods section that clarifies this.
   The lack of scarification is now stated. Page 5 line 28-30

4. Please note that all sources of funding for the research reported should be declared. A statement in the Funding sections that clarifies whether the funding bodies had a role in your study should also be included in the 'Funding' section of your manuscript.
   All sources are listed. I have now added how they had no role in the study.