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**Author’s response to reviews:**

Dear Dr. Tu,

Thank you very much for the positive decision. We hope the corrections are in compliance with your wishes.

Ad 1: All e-mail addresses on the title page are correct. We changed the e-mail addresses in the Editorial Manager.

Ad 2.: The names of the registries were included. We also included the dates of the registration in the Methods/Design section. The trial was not registered retrospectively. (page 7, line 8-14)

Ad 3. The Introduction heading was changed to Background.

Ad 4. The Patients and Methods heading was changed to Methods/Design.

Ad 5. The Conclusion heading was removed.
Ad 6. We removed the signed consent form.

Ad 7. Figure 1 is cited in the text (Methods/Design) (page 7, line 23)

Ad 8. The cover letter was removed.

Ad 9. The sentence “The spirit guidelines were followed in this study protocol” was included. (methods/design section) (page 7, line 13-14)

Ad 10. We uploaded the manuscript as a single, final, clean version without any tracked changes and highlights.

Yours sincerely

Sigrid Karrer