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Author's response to reviews:

Regarding the items of the reviewer:

1. I did not see a point by point description of author responses to the previous critique
   This was done after the first revision

2. The paper is still not consistent in terminology, going back and forth between adherence and compliance:
   We use the term adherence on the entire paper

3. The description of the limitation of their measure of adherence is very weak. They claim the measure is "validated," but they provide no discussion of how sensitive or specific the measure is for identifying poor adherence or how this is likely to have affected the study results.
   We have two references supporting this point

I'm not sure what they mean by "expected" when talking about responses to the adherence measure in the Methods section.

The term "expected" refers to the correct answer according to the product instruction for the use