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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor

Thank you very much for your email. Based on your comments and requests, we have made some modification on the manuscript. We hope that the revised manuscript is acceptable for publication.

Thank you.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Helin Feng

Replies to # Editor Comments

1. Consent for publication

In your ‘Consent for publication’ section of your declarations, please confirm that the featured patient only gave written consent for their personal or clinical details along with any identifying images to be published in this study.
Response: Thanks for your positive suggestions, we confirm that the featured patient gave written consent for their personal or clinical details along with any identifying images to be published in this study.

2. Please add the heading "Declarations" above the Declarations section

Response: Thanks for your positive suggestions, we add the heading "Declarations" above the Declarations section.

3. Remove supplementary file

Please remove the supplementary CARE guidelines checklist from the manuscript, as these files are not required to be attached to the manuscript at this stage of the editorial process.

Response: Thanks for your positive suggestions, we remove the supplementary CARE guidelines checklist from the manuscript.

4. Clean manuscript

At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: Thanks for your positive suggestions, we have thoroughly reviewed and revised the manuscript again and it seems that there is no problem.