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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

We have revised the manuscript according to your and the reviewer's suggestions. The language was polished through editing company again and the reviser is Vikas Narang, Senior Vice President, Operations-Author Services, Editage. The following is our response to your suggestion.

1. Please format your abstract under the following headings:

   - Background
   - Methods
   - Results
   - Conclusions
   - Keywords

More information on what should be included in these sections can be found at https://bmcurol.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines/preparing-your-manuscript/research-article
Response: We have change the word “Objective” into “Background” in the Abstract section. And we check the requirements of this magazine seriously according to the submission-guidelines.

2. Please confirm whether informed consent, written or verbal, was obtained from all participants and clearly state this in your "Ethics and Consent to Participate" section. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure. If the need for consent was waived by an ethics committee or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the committee or a reference to the relevant legislation.

Response: We are sorry for omitting those contents you mentioned, and we have correct this section as your advice. Please check it in this part.

3. In the “Funding” section of your declarations, please clarify the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Response: The fund body provided financial support in design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data. We have added this sentence in this section.

4. We note that two authors have the same initials (JW). To distinguish between them in the Authors’ Contributions section please designate them as JW1 and JW2, with JW1 corresponding to Junwei Wu, chosen because this name is furthest up the author list.

Response: We are sorry for the confusion caused by our negligence and we have revised it as the following: “ZT and WJ1 (Junwei Wu) were involved in drafting the manuscript. WJ2 (Jianguo Wen) made substantial contributions to conception and design this study.”

5. Please include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

Response: We all have read and approved the manuscript, and we ensure that’s the truth.
6. Please remove any additional files you do not want published alongside your manuscript, including cover/response letters.

Response: We removed those additional files.

7. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: We will do this as the requirements of the journal.

Thanks again for your warm work earnestly. Have a nice day.

Best Regards,

Qingwei Wang and all of other authors.