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Reviewer's report:

Thank you to the authors for collating their data and contribute to the body of literature on this area with QoL follow-up.

Many are not contactable indicating most of them are probably happy!

The question remain as to how best follow-up these patients.

Do the authors do calibration procedures to document evidence of graft contracture at all and how this phenomenon correlate with patient's symptoms or absence of symptoms. If you do calibration, then how many patients have for example less than 14 fr urethra but are asymptomatic? Would you agree that esp. in longer grafts that calibration to identify a narrowing luminal diameter may be important to identify who is at risk of failure even if asymptomatic? These patients can then be monitored more closely to avoid risk of chronic sequelae of obstruction. A comment or two in the discussion regarding the above may benefit the readership.

Well done on this paper!

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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