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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Figures

Please upload Supplementary Figure 1-4 to the file inventory as these are cited in the main text of this manuscript. Please also amend the Figure legends section accordingly.

• Response:

Thank you for your kindly comments. We have already add the supplementary figures and supplementary figure legends

2. Conclusions

Please include a Conclusions section after the Discussion according to our editorial policy.

• Response:

Thank you for your kindly comments. We have already modified our manuscript by adding the Conclusion section.
3. Authors’ contributions

Please represent authors' names using their full initials, not their full name, in the Authors’ Contributions section. If there are any duplicated initials, please differentiate them to make it clear that the initials refer to separate authors. Please also ensure that all authors have read and approve of the final version and add a statement confirming this in this section.

• Response:

Thank you for your comments. We have represented authors' names using their full initials in Authors’ Contributions section.

4. Funding

Please also clarify the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

• Response:

Thank you for your kindly comments. We have added the sentence in funding body below:

Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this article are those of the authors alone, and do not necessarily reflect the views of funders.

5. Please address Reviewer # 1's comments as below:

Yigit Akin (Reviewer 1): The paper is ariften in a good route.

The language is acceptable

Statistics are well

The conclusonon part of abstract and main document should be revised.

• Response:

Thank you for your kindly comments. We have modified our conclusion part of abstract and main document by adding the sentence below:

The barbed suture may be a useful surgical innovation which can modify perioperative results for surgeons and patients.