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Dear Editor:

We wish to resubmit the manuscript titled “Experience in AMS 700 LGX penile prostheses for preserving penile length in Korea.” The manuscript ID is BURO-D-17-00273R3.

We thank you for your thoughtful comments and insights. The manuscript has benefited from your insightful suggestions. I look forward to working with you and the reviewers to move this manuscript closer to publication in the BMC Urology.

The manuscript has been rechecked and the necessary changes have been made in accordance. We have revised the manuscript per your suggestion and we had it edited by a native-English
Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Moo Yeon Lee, MD, PhD
Adams Urology Clinic
513, Teheran-ro, Gangnam-gu
Seoul, Republic of Korea
E-mail: mylee@adamshospital.net
Tel.: +82-02-539-7575
Fax: +82-02-539-7577

Co-corresponding author
Sae Woong Kim, MD, PhD
Department of Urology, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 222, Banpo-Daero, Seocho-Gu, Seoul 137-701, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ksw1227@catholic.ac.kr
Tel.: +82-02-2258-6226
Fax: +82-02-599-7839
Editor Comments:

1. Quality of English

Your manuscript needs to be copyedited. We recommend you use a professional language editing service. For authors who wish to have the language in their manuscript edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, BioMed Central has a new in house editing service. The new editing tool can provide both scientific and language editing: http://authorservices.springernature.com/

Please note that use of a professional language service is not a guarantee of acceptance for publication.

We have revised the manuscript per your suggestion and we had it edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise.

2. Authors contributions

We would also like to ask for you to provide more justification for the contributions of SWK as currently they do not automatically qualify for authorship. Contribution to providing guidance and revising the manuscript alone does not usually justify authorship.

An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. According to the ICMJE guidelines, to qualify as an author one should have:

a) made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data

AND

b) been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND

c) given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content

AND

d) agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.
Anyone listed as an author must be included in this section. If you choose to change your author list you will need to fill out a change in authorship form and send it by email to the Editorial office to be approved by the Editor. The form can be found here: https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies#authorship.

Anyone who contributed towards the article who does not meet the criteria for authorship can be acknowledged in the ‘Acknowledgements’ section.

Following your comment, we revised our manuscripts.

3. Figures

We note that you have not included the figures in the file inventory.

Figures should be provided as separate files, and each figure of a manuscript should be submitted as a single file.

Figure files should contain only the image, as well as any associated keys/annotations. If legends are present within the figure files, please remove them.

Following your comment, we revised our manuscripts.

4. Clean manuscript

At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

BMC Urology operates a policy of open peer review, which means that you will be able to see the names of the reviewers who provided the reports via the online peer review system. We encourage you to also view the reports there, via the action links on the left-hand side of the page, to see the names of the reviewers.

Following your comment, we revised our manuscripts.

We highly appreciate your invaluable comments.
Reviewer reports:

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our English language tutorial (https://www.springer.com/gb/authors-editors/authorandreviewertutorials/writinginenglish) and our Writing resources (http://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/writing-resources). These cover common mistakes that occur when writing in English.

We have revised the manuscript per your suggestion and we had it edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise.

We highly appreciate your invaluable comments.