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Extraperitoneal laparoscopic resection for retroperitoneal lymphatic cyst: initial experience

Yichun Wang; Chen Chen; Chuanjie Zhang; Chao Qin; Ninghong Song

BMC Urology

Dear Dr Qin,

Your manuscript "Extraperitoneal laparoscopic resection for retroperitoneal lymphatic cyst: initial experience" (BURO-D-16-00371R2) has been assessed by our reviewers. Based on these reports, and my own assessment as Editor, I am pleased to inform you that it is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Urology, once you have carried out some essential revisions suggested by our reviewers. Their reports, together with any other comments, are below. Please also take a moment to check our website at http://buro.edmgr.com/ for any additional comments that were saved as attachments. Please note that as BMC Urology has a policy of open peer review, you will be able to see the names of the reviewers. Once you have made the necessary corrections, please submit a revised manuscript online at: http://buro.edmgr.com/ If you have forgotten your username or password please use the "Send Login Details" link to get your login information. For security reasons, your password will be reset. A point-by-point response letter must accompany your revised manuscript. This letter must provide a detailed response to each reviewer/editorial point raised, describing exactly what amendments have been made to the manuscript text and where these can be viewed (e.g. Methods section, line 12, page 5). If you disagree with any comments raised, please provide a detailed rebuttal to help explain and justify your decision. At this stage, we ask that you submit a clean version of your manuscript and do not include track changes or highlighting. Please also ensure that your revised manuscript conforms to the journal style, which can be found at the Instructions for Authors on the journal homepage. Please note, if your manuscript is accepted you will not be able to make any changes to the authors, or order of authors, of your manuscript once the editor has accepted your manuscript for publication. If you wish to make any changes to authorship before you resubmit your revisions, please reply to this email and ask for a 'Request for change in
authorship' form which should be completed by all authors (including those to be removed) and returned to this email address. Please ensure that any changes in authorship fulfil the criteria for authorship as outlined in BioMed Central's editorial policies (http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#authorship). Once you have completed and returned the form, your request will be considered and you will be advised whether the requested changes will be allowed. By resubmitting your manuscript you confirm that all author details on the revised version are correct, that all authors have agreed to authorship and order of authorship for this manuscript and that all authors have the appropriate permissions and rights to the reported data. Please be aware that we may investigate, or ask your institute to investigate, any unauthorised attempts to change authorship or discrepancies in authorship between the submitted and revised versions of your manuscript. A decision will be made once we have received your revised manuscript, which we expect by 13 Oct 2017. We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions. Best wishes, Maggie Rahmati- on behalf of Hayley Henderson.

BMC Urology
https://bmcurol.biomedcentral.com/********************Editor comments:

In addition to addressing the comments from reviewers below, please make the following editorial revisions:

1) Response: Dear editor, First, we are quite grateful for your approval of this research. Based on the advice above we have revised our manuscript.

1) English language editing: We agree with reviewer 1 that the English language needs to be substantially improved before the manuscript can be accepted. We suggest that you have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication.

2) Email addresses: Please ensure that email addresses for all authors are consistent between the title page and the submission system.

We are really sorry for the confusion about the email addresses, most of the authors have more than one email address, one personal email address and one common email address. Now we have revised the submission email addresses which is consistent with the address in the manuscript.

3) Declarations section: * Ethics approval and consent to participate:

We had made some mistakes in the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” declarations section. This is a retrospective research and there is no need to gain the reference number for the ethical approval. And we have revised the statement (Ethics approval and consent to participate, Not applicable.) * Consent for publication.

We have revised the statement (Consent for publication, Written informed consent for publication of their clinical details and/or clinical images was obtained from the patient/parent/guardian/ relative of the patient. A copy of the consent form is available for review by the Editor of this journal.) * Availability of data and materials:

We would suggest amending the current wording by adding the following sentence to the statement: ‘Please contact corresponding author for data requests.’

Reviewers reports:

Henry Pleass (Reviewer 1): This is now a better manuscript, but it