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Reviewer’s report:

Discretionary Revisions

The aim of this work is evaluation of sporadic renal angiomyolipoma treatment. Authors followed the “canonic” rules for the construction of Methods, that I believe it’s the most important part of this review.

The idea of demanding individual patient data from other Authors of case series it’s original by my personal knowledge, and I think gives more value at the presentation of the case series of the Institution to which the authors belong, even if limited (7 cases).

I feel the construction of the Article it’s original, it analyses different Center casistic experience and seeks to define certain criteria of treatment with a valid statistical analysis.

I wonder if the authors have deliberately avoided to describe the possibility of performing a biopsy of the lesion in case of doubt with a carcinoma or because they didn’t find consistent Literature. Also the risk of neoplastic foci of angiomyosarcoma in large size AML has not been stated.

At the end of “discussion” section, Authors describes study limitations, that are clear and true (limited casistic with relatively short follow-up).

However, I think this work can help readers to focus on this still debated renal tumor. Methods and analysis are well conducted.

I believe the work it’s interesting and publishable.
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