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Reviewer's report:

It is with great pleasure that I review the article by Guillem et al entitled ‘Controversies in the treatment of invasive urothelial carcinoma: a case report and review of the literature. The authors report of an elderly gentleman with hematuria found to have T3b tumor and underwent cystectomy followed, lung resection for solitary mets and gem/cis for retroperitoneal mets. Overall it is an interesting manuscript. The manuscript could be helped by medical editing.

TITLE: No issues
ABSTRACT: No issues
INTRODUCTION: No issues
CASE REPORT: Grade 3 metastatic??
Why no neoadjuvant?
Was pt retreated with gem carbo?

DISCUSSION: Neoadjuvant and adjuvant can be given to decrease recurrence
Since t3b bladder preservation is not possible and thus neoadj radio/chemo could be deleted. It is not applicable here. Would be stronger if Tables were added to highlight neoadjuvant and adjuvant data as well as a follow diagram working them through various therapies on this continuum.

REFERENCES: No issues
TABLES/FIGURES: See above

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.

Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests