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Dear Ms. Delos Santos,

**MS: 1144098566129670**

**Case report: "Controversies in the treatment of invasive urothelial carcinoma: a case report and review of the literature"**

I am pleased to resubmit for publication the revised and final version of our manuscript with reference number 1144098566129670, titled "Controversies in the treatment of invasive urothelial carcinoma: a case report and review of the literature". Because of the nature of medical practices and treatment regimens which constantly change according to new data published in the medical literature in this field, and increasingly with a view towards more personalized medicine, we believe that this case report and our discussion of the literature relating to it will be of great interest and use to your expert readership. We are therefore very pleased that the Associate Editor has recommended its acceptance.

We understand that the Editor has highlighted a small number of snagging points which we have now addressed. These are as follows:

1. **Copyediting.** The updated version of the manuscript has been copyedited by a professional editor and we hope that it now satisfies the standards of the editors at BMC Urology. We also attach a letter from our editor which we hope will further support our final submission.

2. **Name of Ethics Committee.** The name of our institutional review board, the CEIC (ERB) at the INSTITUTO VALENCIANO DE ONCOLOGIA, has now been added to the manuscript.

3. **Consent to Publish.** According to the editors’ request, a copy of the patients written consent for publication of their clinical details and any relevant images, signed by his next of kin (daughter) has been attached by email.

We are grateful for the very useful comments and suggestions and constructive criticisms given by the reviewers, editors, and associate editor at all stages of the review and alteration process of our manuscript, and we sincerely believe this has significantly improved the quality of the manuscript.

Should you have any further questions or doubts regarding this manuscript, please do not hesitate to seek clarification, as such my contact details for editorial correspondence are shown at the top of this letter.

We look forward to seeing our manuscript in your journal very soon.

Yours faithfully,

Vicente Guillem, MD, PhD
Head of Medical Oncology Department
Instituto Valenciano de Oncologia