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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your kindness. We really appreciate those comments by reviewers. In this manuscript, we mainly did the correction in three aspects: 1) the revision in spelling error; 2) the argument in support of a polyester patch; 3) focusing on the treatment of the complication and on the surgical treatment of the iatrogenic coarctation. We all marked them in the manuscript with modified markup and made them grammatically revised by native speaker. For your convenience, we uploaded a revised manuscript free from change token and the same manuscript with modified markup including black strikethrough and red font. Followings are our detailed responses:

Chiara Panzera (Reviewer 1):

Comment 1: At line 16: spelling error

Answer 1: We accept this comment.

Changes 1: We changed “ocntact” to “contact” in the part of case presentation (in line 16).

Comment 2: Argument the choice of a polyester patch instead of a bovine patch.

Answer 2: We accept it and give the argument.
Changes 2: We added arguments in favor of the polyester patch to the latter part of the discussion (in lines 56 to 59 and lines 1 to 15 on the next page in the manuscript with modified markup).

Alberto Settembrini (Reviewer 2):

Comment 1: In fact the authors wrote a lot about the indications and the opportunity to use a transcatheter closure of the patent ductus arteriosus.

Answer 1: We admit that this section is overwritten and not the focus of the discussion.

Changes 1: We have deleted some sentences in the second and third paragraphs of the discussion shown in black strikethrough font (in lines 56 to 59 and lines 1 to 9 in the second paragraph of the discussion, and in lines 21 to 41 in the third paragraph of the discussion in the manuscript with modified markup).

Comment 2: I think that this is not the topic of the paper, but the treatment of the complication is the real focus of the paper and it could be better and more interesting to have a more readable paper to short the discussion focusing on the surgical treatment of the iatrogenic coarctation.

Answer 2: We thanks for this comment and accept it. We focused our discussion on the treatment of the complication and on the surgical treatment of the iatrogenic coarctation.

Changes 2: We revised the discussion section and added treatment to complications after transcatheter closure of PDA using occluder in the third paragraphs of the discussion (in lines 41 to 60 and lines 1 to 20 in the next page in the manuscript with modified markup).

If there are still something that we do not express clearly or something that need further revision, please without hesitation to mail us.

Sincerely,

Qi An