My congratulations to the authors for their interesting manuscript.

The work was well done and the manuscript well written.

I suggest the authors to consider the following aspects that may improve their paper:

1- Colon cancers are metastatics, but their metastasis are not metastatics.

2 - After removal of primary cancer no new metastasis will occur, but some of metastasis are still very little to be detected. It is well established that metastasis may occur any time after primary tumor resection, even after 10 or 15 years, but most of metastasis are detected during the early six months postoperative period.

3 - Removal of the known liver metastasis in one or two stages does not warrant that new metastasis will not occur in the liver or in other organs and lymph nodes.

4 - Some protocols indicate only colectomy even when metastasis are detected in only one liver segment. The patients are followed for 6 months and then new imaging propedeutics are performed. If metastasis are present in only one liver lobe, without other metastasis, partial hepatectomy is indicated. Otherwise, in presence of multiple metastasis are spread in the liver or other organs, the patient is submitted only to chemotherapy.

5 - My suggestion to the authors is very easy to be performed: to verify the presence of new liver metastasis sixs months after the first surgical procedure with or without simultaneous hepatectomy during the first surgical procedure. A comparison of these two groups will be very useful.
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