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Reviewer's report:

Keyword must be in alphabetical order

Page 2, line 35-36: incidence 0.5-2% needs reference

Page 2, line 35-59: needs Figure for the lesion (or to cite the figure from Figure Legends)

Figures mostly were not cited in the history

Page 2, line 59: technique of biopsy required

page 2, line 59: advise to provide HPE figures

Page 3, line 78: preferably to use "one third" instead of "a third"

Page 3, line 79-80: the correct word is wide local excision, not local wide resection

We congratulate the the authors for choosing the option of WLE instead of APR in view of the age, better QoL, recurrence without local spread. However, I just wonder are there differences if the recurrence occur earlier or later since the authors highlighted the need for a regular follow up?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published
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