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Reviewer's report:

Dear Authors, learning curve in bariatric surgery is an interesting topic and I have read with great interest your article. I would like to suggest some corrections:

In the sentence "While diet, exercise and conventional medical treatment are generally considered ineffective", you should add "for SEVERE/MORBID obesity";

Some minor language corrections are needed throughout the text;

In order to compare leak rate, gastrojejunostomy technique should be explained in more detail;

Please clarify why did you decided to change the length of the biliopancreatic limb during your experience with OAGB?

As you reported in the text "at least 75-100 cumulative cases are recommended to overcome the learning curve". Since you have reported a cumulative experience of 120 patients, you just came out from LC. Specifically, you have just come out from LC for OAGB and not for RYGB. For this reason, I think the division of patients in three different groups is not correct. You should either compare initial series of OAGB with initial RYGBs or compare all the OAGBs to RYGBs

Are the methods appropriate and well described? 
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls? 
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? 
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

None

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal