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Responses to Reviewer

Dear Reviewer, Thanks for the corrections made for the improvement of our manuscript. All corrections are incorporated in this revised version.

A. General:
   - The names of the authors were reversed in the following order: Given names and surnames, instead of surnames and first names. The limits of the study were added in the first paragraph of the discussion.
   - English language corrections were made by a researcher from the department of English at the University of Zinder (Niger).
   - Linguistic revisions are made.

B. Comments
1. Abstract:
   - The lab reference range for the creatinine was added
   - In the conclusion at the end of the abstract, the words "remains the reference technique" are replace to "provides a successful alternative"

2. Methods:
   - p 4 line 37-38: The patients’ consent to publish the results was obtained.

3. Results:
   - Results p5 line 24-25: the sentence about nephrectomy is removed
   - Table I: For patient number 4, only the uretero-pyelic drain was removed at day 4, the retroperitoneal drain was kept in place 25 days. In cases of urinary leakage, we recommend to keep the peri pyelic drain until the end of the leak.
   - patient 6 had an surgical site infection, the corrections were made in the manuscript

4. Discussion:
   - p 7 line 13 "gestures" is replaced by "sutures"
   - A paragraph on urinary leakage after pyeloplasty was added at the end of the discussion