Reviewer’s report

Title: Dual Graft Living Donor Liver Transplantation - A Case Report

Version: 3 Date: 31 Jul 2019

Reviewer: Florin Botea

Reviewer's report:

Please include in the text (Discussions) your responses following the reviews:

1. "Right and left lobes estimated graft weight (EGW) on CT Volumetry is overestimated as compare to Actual graft weight (AGW). For Left lateral segment (LLS) graft, CT may underestimate the volume because actual surgical plane of transection is around 1 cm to the right of falciform ligament whereas radiological plane is at falciform ligament. In addition to that nonhepatic tissues like falciform ligament which are excluded in CT volumetry are a part of LLS allograft included in AGW". Goja et al. HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr 2018;7(3):167-174

2. "In this case it was possible to use reversed left lobe graft. However we chose Right lobe graft over left lobe as we have maximum experience in Right Lobe LDLT. We have 100% safety record for all our Right lobe donors (220 Cases). Moreover reverse left dual graft surgery is technically challenging and associated with more complications."

After that, I think that the paper will be fit for publishing

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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