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Reviewer's report:

COMMENTS FOR AUTHORS
The manuscript by TEKSÖZ et al. presents a large series of patients receiving laparoscopic transperitoneal adrenalectomy. In the last decades, a growing attention has been focused on the role of minimally invasive surgery in the management of adrenal neoplasia, for these reasons the present study seems to be characterized by good scientific relevance; however, some specific point needs to be carefully considered.

Major points:

a) The section "How? - When? - When not?" is not appropriate and it should be removed.

b) Also, in the title section, I strongly recommend removing the above cited expression.

c) One of the most interesting issue is certainly represented by the risk of conversion to open surgery. In this context, it would be highly valuable to include a Table showing in univariate/multivariate analysis the risk factors for conversion to open surgery. Obviously, the findings should be discussed.

d) Tables 1/2 should be rewritten. In particular remove Table 2. Redraw Table 1 including just three columns: 1) Laparoscopic; 2) Open surgery; 3) p-value. The authors should provide data in term of number and percentage comparing the results using appropriate statistical analysis.

e) The authors should include a Figure showing a CONSORT diagram of the overall population included in the study.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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