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Reviewer's report:

Authors reported a case of primary hepatic leiomyoma. The manuscript is well written and sound. Despite the interest that may arise due to the rarity of the disease, there are some concerns.

- being a clinical case a more detailed description of the surgical procedure should be provided. In particular "partial hepatectomy" or "hepatectomy of the right lower liver" are far too generic to define a liver resection, and the picture of the laparoscopic monitor should be replaced with a more relevant intraoperative image.

- the first six line of the discussion are a repetition and should be revised

- considering the rarity of the disease the final statement "Moreover, PHL should be considered when a solid lesion of the liver is identified in patients without hepatitis B infection" seems not to be supported by data. Moreover, as reported by the authors, the majority of cases occur in immune-compromised patients.

- The manuscript may benefit from adding a table with a recap of other cases reported in literature.
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