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Comments:

Abstract:

1) The abstract is the face of any article and has to be properly written.

2) The abstract for a case report has to be just in the form of a paragraph and must not be structured.

3) Conclusions are written in case series and must not be written in case reports.

4) Avoid mentioning keywords from the title.

Background:

1) Well written background, but can be elaborated.

Methods:

1) More intra-operative images can be added to help the first time reader.

Discussion:

1) The discussion is unnecessarily long and can be made more concise and precise, the discussion is too long for a case series.

2) Kindly, rewrite the discussion with only what is relevant.
Images and legends:

1) The images presented must have appropriate legends written.

2) More clinical, pre-operative, histopathological (microscopic), post-operative and intra-operative images have to be presented and written.

References:

1) All references have to be written in Vancouver format.

2) All references older than the year '2000' have to be updated as they are over 19 years old.

3) In all references the term 'et al' must be avoided and names of all the authors must be mentioned.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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