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Reviewer's report:

The topic is interesting, especially due to the analysis of laparoscopic approach. The paper is well-written, in good English. However, considering the revised form, I consider that there are still some issues to be addressed:

- "Single center experience" should be added to the title - the abstract should be modified, as the results should focus on the comparison between laparoscopy and open surgery (results stated in page 10, line 1-35), and, in this way, sustain the conclusion that states "Compared to open surgery in the treatment of liver hydatid cysts, we have shown that laparoscopic method can be safely performed..." - terminology that needs to be clarified: "partial and total pericystectomy" (page 4, line 42), and "Radical surgical procedures included total cystectomy (...) or total cystectomy (...)") (page 6, line 47-51). In conclusion, I consider that the paper should be published after minor revision.
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