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PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

OBJECTIVE - Full research articles: is there a clear objective that addresses a testable research question(s) (brief or other article types: is there a clear objective)?

Yes - there is a clear objective

DESIGN - Is the current approach (including controls and analysis protocols) appropriate for the objective?

Yes - the approach is appropriate

EXECUTION - Are the experiments and analyses performed with technical rigor to allow confidence in the results?

Yes - experiments and analyses were performed appropriately

Statistics - Is the use of statistics in the manuscript appropriate?

N/A - there are no statistics in this study

INTERPRETATION - Is the current interpretation/discussion of the results reasonable and not overstated?

Yes - the author’s interpretation is reasonable

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Is the current version of this work technically sound? If not, can revisions be made to make the work technically sound?

Probably - with minor revisions
PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: It is a well-written case report on a rare entity.
Case presentation is comprehensive and illustrations are representative.
The Discussion part is rather superficial (see detailed comments below).

REQUESTED REVISIONS:
The Discussion should be enhanced re. both literature review and lessons learned from this particular case

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS:
Discussion is rather superficial:
1) the authors should show how this case is special (huge size and rapid growth of the met?) and what lesson(s) could be learned;
2) how this case is similar/different from previously reported 10 cases of ASPS metastatic to the breast?;
3) literature review is missing some important (e.g. PMID 23263847, a good review of all reported cases) and recent (e.g. PMID 26464747) publications

Minor:
1) "cases of ASPS with mammary metastases are very rare" - how rare? provide exact number; one recent publication from 2015 claimed "Not more than 10 reports are available in the literature" (PMID 26464747);
2) "we are currently monitoring the patient through regular follow-ups" - how many months?
3) "we established a diagnosis of ASPS with left mammary and cranial metastases" - what happened with multiple lung metastases described earlier?

Note: This reviewer report can be downloaded - see attached pdf file.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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