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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

Congratulations to your retrospective work on an underreported issue.

Some minor revision should be done:

In line 169 you write about 10/69 patients not having a wound dehiscence - that's a wrong number. Its 10/19 without wound dehiscence but wound complication (3/10 had a wound infection without evidence of superficial collection, 6/10 had a superficial wound collection (haematoma or seroma) without infection and one had both a wound infection and a collection).

In table 1 'Glucose' is missing the unit. Time to healing: days is missing

In table 3 Glucose again misses units, duration of NPWT misses 'days'

In line 129 you should describe incision, technique of closure and used threat as this is important to the concerning issue

You also mention infections but do not specify: bacteria/mycotic and strain, this might be of interest for a lot of readers and would probably define another risk factor.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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