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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr Tian,

We are pleased that our work entitled “Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Program in Gynaecologic Oncological Surgery in a Minimally Invasive Techniques Expert Center” could be considerate after revisions for publication in your journal.
Thank you for your careful review, and for the comments, corrections and suggestions that ensued.

Pleased find attached our revised manuscript for points 2 to 7.

Regarding point 1, we would like to clarify that our data were indeed prospectively collected, but the design of the study and data analysis were done prospectively (after approval of our institutional review board). Based on EBM, our ERAS Program, was implemented as a new standard of care for all patients and that’s why data of the control cohort were collected prospectively. Considering these points our manuscript could not being considered a clinical trial and will not fit with registration criteria of primary registry.

As the current registration information 'Research Registration Unique Identifying Number (UIN): Researchregistry 2893 - retrospectively registered (August 16, 2017)' was a request from a previous independent reviewer, we follow your recommendation to remove it.

We hope that this improved version will meet with your approval for publication in BMC Surgery.

Thanks again for your positive comments.

Sincerely yours