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Reviewer's report:

The authors present an impressively well organized and written single center retrospective review of pelvic fracture management and outcomes. The work has limitations...it is single center, and practices appear relatively uniform with regards to management (limited use of pre peritoneal packing, no REBOA use). That said, it does provide a very useful resource as a contemporary examination of the types of pelvic fractures encountered (using the Tile classification) at what appears to be a reasonably busy center. The work will not change practice, but it does provide an excellent reference for modern pelvic fracture management. The authors cannot escape their single center limitations, but have taken great pains to design a well conceived project that is reported in a very nice fashion. I have no recommendations that could reasonably be met but the investigators given the limitations they face. It is a useful contribution to the literature.
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