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Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

This is a well-written and appropriately crafted case report of a very rare but potentially challenging case of acinar cell carcinoma arising in an ectopic rest of pancreatic tissue located in the proximal jejunum. That alone makes the case interesting, but the presence of tumor thrombus in the first jejunal branch extending to the level of the uncinate process and up to the confluence with the superior mesenteric vein is fascinating and of technical interest to a surgical audience. The description of the preoperative imaging and endoscopy, the intraoperative decision making, and the final pathology including immunohistochemistry make this a complete and comprehensive report of the case management. The review and summary of the current existing literature is complete and current and nicely summarizes the collective body of literature on the subject. This report adds nicely to that pool of information and I think the manuscript is of sufficient interest and quality to warrant publication in BMC Surgery.
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