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**Reviewer’s report:**

1) In material-method part, of the article, they expressed that they used sample size calculation but in the article number of samples were calculated and determined by power analysis. Also, the success rate mesh localization is given as 54%, but there isn't such un exact data in the sample article and the urethral range that is considered to be succesful isn't as 40-60% in the sample article.

2) In power analysis, in the sample article measured time was given as 24 months. In this article measures were done after 6 months. There isn't any exact daha with 24 month.

3) In the study, why the midurethral area was defined as 40-60%, should be explained, because in the sample article this defined as 50-70%.

4) In this article, the number of patients and duration of six month is not seem to be sufficient to arrive to a definite conclusion.

5) In the article, discussed references and highlighter spots are inadequate.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.