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Reviewer’s report:

Comments to the Author
This article describes that the pseudocontinent perineal colostomy (PCPS) is a good option, especially for the Muslim. However, there are many major revised points to evaluate the oncological results and satisfaction. Especially the authors must compare PCPS and not PCPS after abdominoperineal resection (APR) for rectal adenocarcinoma.

Major Essential Revisions
1. The title need to be changed. The evaluation of safe and efficient technique for PCPS is not enough and the title have to be added the word “for the Muslim.”
2. The manuscript assessed the oncological results in PCPS after APR. The Kaplan-Meier (KM) method should be used to estimate the overall and disease-free survival rate for each TNM staging of PCPS and not PCPS. The KM curves should have number at risk.
3. The satisfaction rate is not reliable date. We have no date that 77% seemed to be highly satisfied with PCPS. This satisfaction rate should be linked with the QOL questionnary

Minor Essential Revisions
1. In Assessment of oncological results and satisfaction score, the authors should describe the follow-up time of abdominal-pelvic CT separately.
2. The conducted time in subjective satisfactory score was needed.
3. In the discussion, the authors need to explain the rule of the Ramadan.
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