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Author’s response to reviews:

Regarding the questions raised by the reviewers, the replies are as follows:

1, Large-channel endoscopy is a new minimally invasive technology, especially in cervical spondylosis has not been widely used.
2, The method of this article is mainly according to the order of patients'treatment. Firstly, according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the suitable patients are selected, and then the relevant general clinical data are recorded. After meeting the operation conditions, the operation is carried out, and the effect of the operation is evaluated and regular follow-up is carried out after the operation.
3, Statistically, an independent single sample variance t test was selected. The scores obtained at each time point postoperative were compared with those preoperative. For comparisons between groups, the P under the table was not compared between two groups after operation. To make a more intuitive comparison between the two groups, this paper also provides a histogram between groups, which can be seen see in the revised manuscript
4, For the control group, the choice of medical records in the article, such as "clamp type" single segment cervical spondylotic myelopathy, can not tolerate anesthesia and open trauma in elderly patients. The above-mentioned medical records have some particularities. Compared with open surgery, it is difficult to achieve the consistency of other conditions except surgery. Comparing the patients preoperative and postoperative, the error is smaller and the result is more objective.
5, The discussion in this paper is relatively brief, but the key points has been elaborated in detail, such as the technical advantages, shortcomings of the Large Channel Endoscopy in Posterior Cervical Spine, as well as the problems that need to be further improved and solved in the
future.
6, There are some deficiencies and shortcomings in English writing. After repeated reading, some sentences in the article are rewritten.

The main revisions to the article are as follows:
Surgical methods section; In paragraph 2, lines 3-4 and 9-13.

Statistical processing section, Make some additional explanations.

Results; Finally, a comparison histogram of each group is added to illustrate the differences between groups more intuitively.

Discuss Part 2, Paragraphs 1, lines 3-4, Paragraphs 2, lines 2-3.