Author’s response to reviews

Title: Association between sagittal balance and adjacent segment degeneration in anterior cervical surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Authors:

Yijian Zhang (yjzhang2013@stu.suda.edu.cn)
Yijie Shao (shaoyijie123@suda.edu.cn)
Hao Liu (liuhaodoctor@suda.edu.cn)
Junxin Zhang (zhangjunxin123@suda.edu.cn)
Fan He (hefan@suda.edu.cn)
Angela Chen (acchen@uwaterloo.ca)
Huilin Yang (suzhouspine@163.com)
Bin Pi (bpi@suda.edu.cn)

Version: 2 Date: 12 Aug 2019

Author’s response to reviews:
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Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for reviewers’ comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Association between sagittal balance and adjacent segment degeneration in anterior cervical surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis” (BMSD-D-18-01701R1) Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope to meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer’s comments are as flowing:
Editors

1 Response to comment: Your abstract should include the following headings only: Background, Methods, Results, Conclusions.
Response: Considering the Editor’s comment, we have corrected the Abstract in the revised version.

2 Response to comment: Please remove your declarations from your title page as these are already present at the end of your manuscript.
Response: Considering the Editor’s comment, we have removed the declaration from the title page.

3 Response to comment: Please state “Not Applicable” in this section in Ethics approval and consent to participate.
Response: Considering the Editor’s comment, we have stated the “Not Applicable” in the revised version.

4 Response to comment: Please state “Not Applicable” in this section in Consent for publication.
Response: Considering the Editor’s comment, we have stated the “Not Applicable” in the revised version.

5 Response to comment: Please describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.
Response: Considering the Editor’s comment, we have added the explanation of the role of the funding body in the revised version.

6 Response to comment: At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version
Response: Considering the Editor’s comment, we have reviewed and corrected a clean version for revision.
Special thanks to you for your good comments.