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Reviewer's report:

PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

RELEVANCE - Does this case report make a contribution to medical knowledge, have educational value, or highlight the need for a change in clinical practice or diagnostic/prognostic approaches?

Yes, this report contributes to medical knowledge

CASE DESCRIPTION - Are the details of the case sufficiently well described to understand the patient's symptoms and course of treatment?

No - there are minor issues

DIAGNOSIS/INTERPRETATION - Based on the facts presented, are the diagnosis, interpretation, and course of treatment medically sound?

Yes, the work described is medically sound

DISCUSSION OF THE CASE - Does the discussion appropriately analyse the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment? Has an adequate literature review pertinent to the case been included?

No - there are minor issues

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Could an appropriately REVISED version of this work represent a technically sound contribution?
Probably - with minor revisions

PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: This is an interesting case report. The rarity of the case is evident and the mechanism of injury seems novel. However, the authors are advised to improve the description of the mechanism and provide more details. The description supports the novelty of the case report, but other factors that make this case important is the non surgical treatment. The direct impact may resemble fall from height, so the authors need to support this further.

REQUESTED REVISIONS:

Relevance - The type of injury is rare, the authors need to support more the novelty of the mechanism versus fail. Another educational item is the good outcome with non-operative treatment

Description. As mentioned more details of the mechanism and more details of the treatment are necessary

Diagnosis. It is unfortunate that there is no CT or MRI

Discussion. The discussion should be longer. The table is really nice and the authors need to highlight and discuss this more.

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS:

The length of the manuscript is rather short.

Are the methods appropriate and well described? If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls? If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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