Reviewer’s report

Title: Health professional views on the assessment and management of foot problems in people with psoriatic arthritis in Australia and New Zealand: a qualitative investigation

Version: 0 Date: 22 Feb 2019

Reviewer: Kade Paterson

Reviewer's report:

Thanks for the opportunity to review this nice study investigating the views of health professionals regarding the quality of the assessment and management of foot problems in people with psoriatic arthritis. The study was very well designed and the paper is very well written. Analysis appears appropriate and results are reported well. The discussion highlights the main themes and presents the implications of these nicely, and the findings are quite interesting. I really only have some very minor comments below. Well done to the authors.

Page 4, line 17 - I wasn't sure if this was saying that foot inflammation is present in psoriatic arthritis patients that are undergoing intensive pharmacological management?

Page 7 - did you ask how frequently the health professionals treat patients with psoriatic arthritis?

Page 9, line 36 - I may be mistaken, but I didn't think I read anything about "poor access to non-specialised podiatry services" in the results or discussion?

Page 15, line 1 - please spell IA

Page 17, line 44 - I think "diseases" is supposed to be singular?

Page 17, line 46 - this is a long sentence, suggest adding a comma between "PsA" and "and the health professionals"

Page 18, line 1 - is the survey for patients?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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