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Very interesting case, and nice paper.

Discussion, line 154, "To date, there is still no agreement for the most suitable management…", It can be stated that RFA has been the gold standard in the last years (at least 10/15 years). I also suggest to mention MRgHIFU as an emerging technique, with significant advantages over RFA.

Title, and Discussion line 125 - "In our case, the patient was affected by OO which was both multicentric, since it involved the femur and the acetabulum, and multifocal, due to its double localization in the anterior and posterior acetabulum". According to the definition reported by the Authors (line 117) "In 1970, Schajowicz originally defined this lesion [9]…", it is not clear if this is a case with 3 osteoid osteomas or a case with mulicentric, multifocal... (Are the ones in the acetabulum both "enclosed in a single block of sclerotic bone"?)). Perhaps a coronal/sagittal or oblique CT view (figure) may help and be added. In case this is not multifocal, I suggest to revise the article accordingly.
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