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Reviewer's report:

1. Testing in healthy population of 354 men & women in the age between 20 to 99 years. BIG QUESTION MARK how you had find healthy population above 70 years & above????? .Kindly explain .

2. Earlier lot of research had been done on this topic and variable and this is a very basic research. Moreover this study shows only one variable as status of strength among the participants. This research paper is not a experimental study and don't have any significant value and added literature to the research field.

3. Only weight and height was take into consideration . They have to find the BMI at-least of the participants.

4. I am really shocked to see that they are comparing the hand grip strength between MEN AND WOMEN. Without any test we can conclude that Men is superior than women in hand grip strength.

5. In Conclusion part they had mentioned that (354 men and women age between 20 and 99 years of age). ------ ( participants were in the ages between from 20 to 99 years of age).

6. Need correction in language because the meaning will change completely if uses (instead of (TO)/ AND.
7. Kindly compare your results with the ACSM standard or any other standardized NORMS. IT gives you clear picture about the level of performance (EXCELLENT, V.GOOD, GOOD, FAIR OR POOR).

8. 

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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