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Reviewer’s report:

Title: Efficacy of internal fixation with mini plate and internal fixation with hollow screw for Regan-Morrey type II and III ulna coronoid fracture

Methods: Retrospective study of 164 patients treated with 4 different types of surgical fixation for Reagan/Morrey 2-3 coronoid fractures. Validated outcome metrics includes VAS pain & Morey elbow score. Statistical analysis included chi square, Wilcoxon rank sum and one way ANOVA.

Results: Based on the authors' analysis superior results are seen with either plate or cannulated screw fixation.

Overall Assessment: Overall this is a well done study with validated outcome measures, large patient numbers, and sound statistical analysis. I have no concerns about the methodology or conclusions reached by the study. Furthermore, the conclusions in this study mirror those of other smaller studies in the literature. However, it requires extensive editing from a grammatical perspective to make it suitable for publication.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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