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Author’s response to reviews:

Thank you, the editorial staff and the reviewers for their useful comments on our manuscript. We have addressed each of them specifically below and have modified our manuscript accordingly.

1. Please include your statement “The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request” in the ethics section, under the heading ‘Availability of data and materials’.

   Response: We have revised it.

2. We note that you have not included a ‘Consent for publication’ section in the Declarations. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Seeing as this is not applicable to your manuscript please state “Not Applicable” in this section.

   Response: We stated “Not Applicable” in this section

3. We would like to ask for you to provide more justification for the contributions of SI and JK, as currently they do not automatically qualify for authorship. Contribution to data collection, alone, does not usually justify authorship.
An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. According to the ICMJE guidelines, to qualify as an author one should have:

a) made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; AND

b) been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND

c) given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content; AND

d) agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

The individual contributions of ALL authors to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Guidance and criteria for authorship can be found here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship

Please also include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. SI has also had the role in acquisition of data which matched in authorship's criteria. Therefore, she deserved to be the author.

4. We note that a figure legend for figure 1 is missing. Please provide figure titles/legends under a separate heading of 'Figure Legends' after the References. If Figure titles/legends are within the main text of the manuscript, please move them.

Response: We have corrected it.

5. Please remove the authors response letter as this is not required at this stage.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. We have done it.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. We have done it.