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Reviewer's report:

English writing is fluent and the information is suggestive. However, the discussion is without in-depth. Only arthroscopic findings are reported without analysis of patho-mechanism. The relationship among your findings needs to be comprehensively explained in the first paragraph of Discussion section- (from basic science viewpoints why you achieve these findings). i.e. Validity of the study is unclear.

Some doubts require clarification:

1. In lines 75,76, the description is unclear.

2. You had reported the results of statistical comparison, but the testing methods were lack. It should be described in Materials and Methods. What software?

3. The style of reference writing is correct? All should be consistent.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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