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Reviewer's report:
Thanks for the thorough revision of the manuscript. Although you have addressed most of my comments, there are few points to clarify before this manuscript be considered for publication:

ABSTRACT
- page 2, line 20: >60 or >65??? Make sure the abstract is consistent with that what is written in the text.

INTRODUCTION
- page 4, line 12: transfusions during and post-surgery OR just post-surgery. Needs clarification. Make sure this is consistent with your results.

RESULTS
- page 7, lines 8-9: percentages are misplaced. Please revise the sentence.

DISCUSSION
- page 15, lines 7-13: again, consistency: Review paragraphs using age >60 throughout the text.
  You keep going back and forth between 60 and 65, which is very confusing.

CONCLUSION
- page 16, line 9: following knee arthroplasty surgery only OR also during surgery???
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.
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