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Reviewer’s report:

Many thanks for all the clarification.

Review No. 2: The question was: Methods, Line 109-110: The authors write, "….enabling them to march or ski a distance of 15 km on each of 2 consecutive days,….". What is the number of days between these two consecutive days of 15 kilometers to march or ski?

The authors did not respond, they changed only the phrasing to say the same thing. The question was "the number of days separating these two consecutive days from the next session of two consecutive days". To put the question in another way, what was the rhythm of these "two consecutive days"? Every 3 days? Every 5 days? Every week? Or just once?

Review No. 3: Here too, the authors did not respond the question. They knew well that from the population selected, some will not do their military service. Therefore, why not having selected 5000 conscripts or all those conscripts from that range of time?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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