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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for your response. I still have a few comments and questions.

1. Line 89: It would be better to delete "strongly."

2. Line 129: The 50% zinc chloride looks to be effective from the papers, but the papers show the effect only on GCTB, not on PNVS. Authors describe the fact in the paper.

3. Fig 6: Please use higher quality picture.

4. Are there any final plain radiograph or CT? It would be better to show the final status of bone union.

5. Line 142-143: Could you describe the reason why you chose the schedule, a total of 20 Gy in 10 sessions every 2 days? Is that useful to avoid complication of radiation therapy?

Are the methods appropriate and well described? If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls? If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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