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Recommendations:

The manuscript generally is well but many corrections are necessary as follows:

1- many extensive grammatical corrections are needed. It's better to be corrected by a native.

2- page 3; line number 98 & 99: "extra muscular power" should be changed to " more endurance". Also it is necessary to present a reference for the sentence.

3- it is expected that the authors tell about the endurance of the muscles of the neck and upper trunk to maintain static positions during different activities. In different parts of the manuscript, it is referred to power of the muscles which is not correct.

4- the discussion section needs to be revised and organized extensively.
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