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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Please change the "Introduction" and "Patient and methods" headings to "Background" and "Methods" respectively.
   - headings were changed as requested

2. Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.
   - a “Conclusion” section has been added

3. Please include a "Consent for publication" section to the Declarations.
   - a “Consent for publication” section has been added with needed information.
4. Please include a separate "Funding" section to the Declarations.

All sources of funding for the research reported should be declared. The role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript should be declared. If no funding was obtained for this study, please state so in this section.

We appreciate that a statement providing funding information may already be present. Please move/copy the statement to the Funding sub-section instead, and include any additional relevant information.

- We added a separate “Funding” section to the Declarations.

4. Please remove the duplicated figures in the main manuscript file, as these figures have already been uploaded separately as individual files (as per our submission guidelines)

- We have removed the duplicated figures.

5. Please provide figure titles/legends under a separate heading of 'Figure Legends' after the References. If Figure titles/legends are within the main text of the manuscript, please move them.

- We added Figure Legends heading after the References and moved Figure titles/legends accordingly.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables and figures should also be clean versions.

- We have noticed these issues

Reviewer #1:

1. please change the sentence in the conclusion: "An IADL score ≥5 appears to exclude institutionalization" p12 line 53 to the modified sentence in the abstract: An IADL score of ≥5 predicted the ability to remain in the community.

- the sentence was revised