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Reviewer's report:

The authors performed an interesting review to evaluate the patient and system factors of mortality after hip fractures. In my opinion, some revisions are needed to improve this manuscript.

According to the policy of the journal, the authors need to perform a systematic review. For this reason, I would suggest to clarify this issue in the title, and also to consider the PRISMA approach to improve the methodology of the study.

In the Introduction, I would suggest to clarify the rationale of the study. What the readers should learn by reading this paper. Moreover, the aims of the study should be clearly stated at the end of the introduction. In this respect, I would suggest to move the research question paragraph in this section.

In the Discussion, I would suggest to delete the first statements. In my opinion, it would be better to state the main finding of the study at the beginning of this section. Moreover, the authors should discuss extensively point of strength and limits of the present study.
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