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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions

The authors touched an important issue of feasibility of hemipelvectomy in elderly. As demonstrated, satisfactory curative and palliative outcomes with acceptable morbidity and mortality can be achieved for complex oncologic problems. This, in my opinion, warrants publication consideration.

The article however should be more concise and more readable: preoperative workup imaging details can be abbreviated, postoperative followup should be better and succinctly defined.

Some style points: The authors should use the words procedure, operation, or resection instead of “surgery”, which really is the name of discipline and its use in reference to surgical procedure is colloquial. Writing in the first person should be avoided.

Conclusion section should be re-written in more humble fashion in terms of “this experience or case series suggests that…” and remove the words “strongly”, “first”, “largest”, etc.

I will be happy to see the revised version of this interesting manuscript for further consideration.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.

Declaration of competing interests:

No conflict of interest