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Could you speculate why would DLCO get better? Do you think it is dependent on better RV function? Improved vascular perfusion? Both? None?

Regarding anticoagulation: I think it will be useful to include the fact that most patients were anticoagulated, particularly because we do not know the significance of venous thrombosis in patients PVOD - I believe a regular reader would benefit from the small piece of discussion added to the reviewer comments regarding the dichotomy of treatment.

Regarding pulmonary edema: Excellent. I believe it adds relevant information even if imaging is not included. Please add these findings in the final publication.

Regarding therapy and its association with pulmonary edema. I think a short comment on how different your regimen was compared to other case reports is relevant.

Excellent work. I look forward to citing your findings!
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