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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors,

Please see below responses.

Best regards,

Yana

1. Please remove the tables legends from the main text of the manuscript.
The legends were removed.

2. Please provide this information: 'National Institute of Phthisiology and Pulmonology F.G. Yanovsky National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine (NIPP), Kiev, Ukraine and Semey State Medical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan provided advisory support to the study. Editorial support in the form of draft outline, editorial suggestions to draft versions of this paper, collating author comments, assembling tables and figures, referencing, and copyediting was provided by Julia She at Synergy Research Group and was funded by GSK.' in the Acknowledgments and not in the Authors’ contribution section.

It was corrected in accordance with request.

3. The individual contributions of every single author to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Guidance and criteria for authorship can be found here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship

It was amended accordingly.

4. We noted that Figure 6 is missing: please provide figure 6 in the file inventory.

It was attached.

5. We note that in Funding you state: 'GSK was involved in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, the writing of the report, and in the decision to submit the article for publication.' If the role of the funding body was to provide the sources, grants and salaries to the researchers (as it is correctly stated in the conflict of interests section), but did not influence directly the research, you can state: 'The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.' If GSK had a direct influence on the study, we would require a clarification for that.

Following additional communication with Editor it was agreed that Funding statement could simply be ‘Funding for this study was provided by GSK (GSK Study Number RES116757, GSK study acronym: CORE),’ as the information provided in the Competing Interests section would be already sufficient.

It was amended accordingly.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours.
All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

It was uploaded.